2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 MATHEW BEARD, 6 Case No. 2:23-cv-01060-GMN-NJK Plaintiff(s), 7 **ORDER** v. [Docket Nos. 33, 39] NEVADA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, et 9 al., 10 Defendant(s). 11 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel. Docket No. 33. Defendants filed 12 a motion to extend the responsive brief deadline, representing that a meet and confer was not conducted prior to the filing of the motion to compel and that one was being scheduled for this 13 week. Docket No. 39. 15 Parties must confer with the other side before filing a motion to compel discovery. Local 16 Rule 26-6(c). Although modified slightly, this requirement applies to pro se prisoners. See Local 17 Rule IA 1-3(f)(1). 18 Plaintiff's motion to compel does not certify that conferral efforts took place. Docket No. 19 33. Defense counsel represents that "[n]o meet and confer took place prior to the filing of the instant motion." Docket No. 39 at 2. 20 21 Accordingly, the motion to compel (Docket No. 33) is **DENIED** without prejudice. The motion to extend time (Docket No. 39) is **DENIED** as moot. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: April 7, 2025 25 Nancy J. Koppe 26 United States Magistrate Judge 27 28